**Document No.: AK-040-05-DNA-002** 

# Administrative Determination (AD) Documentation of Land Use Plan Conformance and NEPA Adequacy (DNA)

U.S. Department of the Interior - Bureau of Land Management Anchorage Field Office

A. BLM Office: Anchorage Field Office Lease/Serial Case File No.: A-028142

**Proposed Action Title/Type:** Drilling of a Natural Gas Well

**Location of Proposed Action:** Section 6, T. 4 N., R. 11 W., Seward Meridian

#### **Description of the Proposed Action**

Marathon Oil Company is proposing to drill a natural gas well in the Kenai Beluga Unit, KBU 42-6. The surface location is anticipated to be 45' from the South Line (FSL) and 4,200' From the West Line (FWL) in Section 6, T. 4 N, R 11 W, Seward Meridian. Existing roads will be used for access to the KBU 42-6 well site. Kenai, AK is the nearest town to the site. No new roads will be required to access the KBU 42-6 well. The KBU 42-6 well will be drilled from Pad 41-7 in the Kenai Gas Field. Pad drawings delineate existing wells, the proposed location of KBU 42-6, existing production facilities and where the flow line will be installed from the KBU 42-6 wellhead to an existing heater and separator.

A water supply well exists on the pad from which KBU 42-6 will be drilled. No construction is planned on the pad. The recent pad expansion has already been completed and is sufficient. Cuttings will be dewatered on location. The cuttings and excess mud will be hauled to Pad 41-18 of the Kenai Gas Field for disposal into Well KU 24-7, a Class II disposal well (AOGCC Disposal Injection Order No. 9, Permit #81-176). All household and approved industrial garbage will be hauled to the Kenai Peninsula Borough Soldotna Landfill. Clear fluids will be hauled to Pad 34-31 of the Kenai Gas field and injected in Well WD #1, an approved disposal well (AOGCC Permit #7-194). Unused chemicals will be returned to the vendors that provided them. Efforts will be made to minimize the use of all chemicals. Sewage will be hauled to the Kenai sanitation facility.

A minimal camp will be established on the pad to house various supervisory and service company personnel. Approximately four trailer house type structures will be required for this purpose. Bottled water will be used for human consumption. Potable water will be obtained from the existing water well on the pad and used for cooking, cleaning, etc. Sanitary wastes will be collected and transported to their ADEC approved disposal facility. No additional structures will be necessary. KBU 42-6 will be drilled from an existing pad. Reclamation of the pad will occur after the abandonment of KBU 42-6 and the other existing wells on the pad. Approval of the plan of reclamation will be obtained

#### 

from the surface owners, CIRI Native Corporation prior to any reclamation work beginning.

Applicant (if any): Marathon Oil Co.

### B. Conformance with the Land Use Plan (LUP) and Consistency with Related Subordinate Implementation Plans

The BLM has not developed a land use plan for surface or subsurface oil and gas development in the Kenai Peninsula area.

The Proposed Action is nearly identical to that which is described in the EA-AK-040-99-022. The aforementioned addressed the impacts of drilling the KBU 33-6 and the KBU 42-7. The FONSI for EA-AK-040-99-022 was signed on August 26, 1999. Activities outlined in the EA are nearly identical to those in the current Proposed Action. The impacts are assumed to be identical. Therefore, EA-AK-040-99-022 assesses the impacts of the Proposed Action and provides a basis for a decision on the proposal, 43 CFR 1610.8 (b)(1).

## C. Identify applicable NEPA documents and other related documents that cover the Proposed Action.

Environmental Assessment AK-040-99-022 and the associated Finding of No Significant Impact adequately cover all environmental issues associated with the drilling of an additional well on Pad 41-7: Notification of Staking – Surface Use Plan, Marathon Oil Company, Kenai Gas Field (KBU 42-6), 10/4/04.

### D. NEPA Adequacy Criteria

- 1. Is the current Proposed Action substantially the same action (or is a part of that action) as previously analyzed? Is the current Proposed Action located at a site specifically analyzed in an existing document?

  The Proposed Action is essentially the same as the Proposed Action in EA AK-040-99-022. The location of the current Proposed Action is one section north of where activity took place during the 1999 drilling of Wells KBU 33-6 and KBU 42-7.
- 2. Is the range of alternatives analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s) appropriate with respect to the current Proposed Action, given current environmental concerns, interests, and resource values?

  The range of alternatives analyzed in EA AK-040-99-022 have not changed, and are still relevant to the current Proposed Action.

### 3. Is the existing analysis valid in light of any new information or circumstances?

The following Critical Elements have been analyzed and will not be affected:

Air Quality

Areas of Critical Environmental Concern

**Environmental Justice** 

Farmlands (Prime or Unique)

Flood Plains

Invasive, Non-Native Species

Native American Religious Concerns

Wastes (Hazardous and Solid)

Water Quality (Drinking or Ground)

Wetlands/Riparian Zones

Wild and Scenic Rivers

Wilderness

Cultural Resources; T&E Species; and Subsistence have all been analyzed and will not be affected. Compliance and Determination reports have been prepared, and are filed with AK-040-05-DNA-002.

- 4. Do the methodology and analytical approach used in the existing NEPA document(s) continue to be appropriate for the current Proposed Action? The methodology and analytical approach utilized in EA AK-040-99-022 is consistent with that which is outlined in the Proposed Action.
- 5. Are the direct and indirect impacts of the current Proposed Action substantially unchanged from those identified in the existing NEPA document(s)? Does the existing NEPA document analyze site-specific impacts related to the current Proposed Action?

All impacts (direct and indirect) remain unchanged. The current Proposed Action falls under existing site-specific impact analyses outlined in EA AK-040-99-022.

6. Are the cumulative impacts that would result from implementation of the current Proposed Action substantially unchanged from those analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s)?

The cumulative impacts outlined in EA-AK-040-99-022 remain unchanged, and are applicable to the current Proposed Action.

7. Are the public involvement and interagency review associated with existing NEPA document(s) adequate for the current Proposed Action?

EA-AK-040-99-022 involved a consultation with the Alaska Heritage Resource Survey. The resulting documentation from that consultation remains effective for the current Proposed Action. No further consultations shall be necessary.

### **E.** Interdisciplinary Analysis:

Interdisciplinary Analyses were conducted by AFO Lands and AFO Resources staff (see associated NEPA routing sheet). Being that the Kenai Beluga Unit is located off of the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge; USF&WS is not required to comment on the proposed activity.

### F. Mitigation Measures:

No mitigation measures are required for this Proposed Action.

#### G. Conclusion

Based on the review documented above, I conclude that this proposal conforms to the applicable land use plan and that the NEPA documentation fully covers the Proposed Action and constitutes BLM's compliance with the requirements of NEPA.

/s/ Clinton E. Hanson, Acting	10-28-04
Anchorage Field Manager	Date